

**OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE**  
**Annual Petition Summary Report**

**Date Range:** 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2021 to 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2022

6 - Paper Petitions

6 - ePetitions

<b>Paper Petition Title</b>	<b>Signatures</b>	<b>Date Responded / Response</b>
<b>21-06 - Against New Parking Restrictions - Scholars Walk</b>	15	<p>18<sup>th</sup> April, 2021</p> <p>Initial Response: Thank you for submitting the attached petition in response to the proposed scheme for Scholars Walk, I will ensure this is formally logged. The consultation will be ending on Friday 19th March, 2021 and after this date all the correspondence received will be analysed and a decision will be made on the next steps.</p> <p>Full Response: I would like to inform you that we have analysed all the feedback received during the consultation period and a collective decision has been made with your ward Councillor to not proceed with this scheme to implementation stage. We will however continue to monitor any complaints received for this location and liaise with your ward Councillors accordingly.</p>
<b>21-07 - Cippenham Lane Parking Restrictions - 30 Minutes Limit</b>	36	<p>2<sup>nd</sup> June, 2021</p> <p>I would like to inform you that we have analysed all the feedback received during the consultation period and a collective decision has been made with your ward Councillors to amend our original proposal to accommodate the feedback received.</p> <p>Please see below the amended plan showing the scheme that is to be implemented in the coming weeks.</p>
<b>21-08 - Parking Issues - Broad Oak Court</b>	29	<p>10<sup>th</sup> June, 2021</p> <p>Thank you for submitting a petition to us that requested for residential permit parking. I have investigated the issues that residents are facing and the request for permit parking. We receive a large volume of similar type of requests for a controlled parking zone (CPZ) from all over the Borough. Since we are only able to work on a limited number of such parking schemes each year there is various requirements which must be met in order for one to be considered and we often try to discourage the implementation of permit zones covering just one road as best practice is to cover a number of roads within a zone. Unfortunately proposing permit parking on just one road would actually exacerbate the issues at this location, this is mostly due to the road containing many flats which would actually imbalance the roads parking.</p> <p>As parking on the footway at this location would not be encouraged due to its narrow width, we would only consider the bays that are offset in front of the properties and those spaces which can park fully on the carriageway without obstruction. With this said, there are only 24 marked spaces and approx. 8-10 on-street spaces. We have 40 properties on record, which is over what would be</p>

		deemed as acceptable. As car ownership numbers often increase throughout time, these figures would unfortunately not provide for a successful scheme and we therefore would have to reject the request on these grounds.
<b>21-09 - Public Footpath Obstruction at 111 &amp; 161 Tamar Way</b>	10	<p>9<sup>th</sup> August, 2021</p> <p>I have been liaising with our NET team and we now have a letter that can be sent out to residents as there is a set procedure that must be followed before any action can be taken by our NET team to issue Fixed Penalty Notices, I have copied my Inspector into this response so he can contact you direct to arrange a site meeting on the next steps as resources are limited and you have mentioned you are happy to assist where possible.</p> <p>I have also spoken with our Communications team and they will be looking to place a message on relevant web pages as a kind reminder to residents to pull their bins in after collection days.</p>
<b>21-10 - Increase in Residents Parking Permits - Kings Road</b>	11	<p>5<sup>th</sup> August, 2021</p> <p>As advised in the letter to all resident permit holders in the Borough the resident parking permit charges have not been reviewed since 2003 and the number of controlled parking zones and cost to provide and support this service has increased over the last 18 years. We believe the new charges are reasonable and fair and will support the enforcement and maintenance of the controlled parking zones in the Borough.</p>
<b>21-11 - Arthur Road Residents Only Parking Scheme</b>	16	<p>5<sup>th</sup> January, 2022.</p> <p>Thank you for the petition received on 7th December, 2021 requesting a resident's permit scheme in Arthur Road. Firstly please accept my sincere apologies for the delay in acknowledging this petition, as mentioned with limited staffing resource we are delayed with responses.</p> <p>You have requested a Residents Parking Scheme to be implemented for Arthur Road only, when looking to implement any scheme within the borough the Councils policy is to consider what other restrictions are in place in the surrounding area and if such schemes can be implemented alone or should be combined with other roads. However this piece of work will be undertaken as part of the survey the Engineer would conduct at the time, including looking at kerb capacity, number of households, effect on surrounding roads etc.</p> <p>Due to the current financial position of the Council unfortunately, we do not have the funding or resources to consider this scheme at this time and it has been placed on our forward plan and will be consider in the new financial year when potential new funding is available. We will write to all residents in the ward, and consult with Ward Councillors when the scheme is ready for consultation and formal representation can be submitted.</p>

<b>ePetition Title</b>	<b>Signatures</b>	<b>Date Responded / Response</b>
<b>Petition to Reject The Planning Application (Ref: P/07584/011) For The Stoke Wharf Development Project in Slough, which will be Redeveloping The Bowyers Recreation Ground and The Surrounding Areas and to Save The</b>	228	<p>5<sup>th</sup> May, 2021</p> <p>I refer to the recent Petition to Reject The Planning Application (Ref: P/07584/011) For The Stoke Wharf Development Project in Slough, which will be Redeveloping The Bowyers Recreation Ground and The Surrounding Areas and to Save The Bowyers Recreation Grounds from being Destroyed.</p>

**Bowyers Recreation Grounds from being Destroyed**

As you know, this ePetition was active from 20<sup>th</sup> January to Tuesday 9<sup>th</sup> February this year. The Planning Application that the petition relates to was considered by the Planning Committee at its meeting on 10<sup>th</sup> February, when members resolved to approve the application subject to:

1. Referral to the Planning Casework Unit for the casework team to decide if the application would be called in either for decision by the Secretary of State, or the application remained to be decided by the Local Planning Authority.
- 2 The receipt of formal amended plans for consultation.
- 3 Consultation by site notice with residents and Ward Members (Central and Elliman by email) on the amended plans referred to in the Amendment Sheet.
- 4 Completion of the Section 106 Agreement.
- 5 Agreement of pre-commencement conditions.
- 6 Any changes to conditions.

With regards to the above points, I can provide you with the following update:

1. We will be writing formally to the Planning Casework Unit to refer the application the Secretary of State. Meanwhile we have been are keeping the Planning Casework Unit up-to-date on progress with the application. I understand that the decision as to whether or not the application will be called in by the Secretary of State will be made when the Council is ready to issue its decision.
- 2 Amended plans and a Design and Access Addendum were submitted to the Council on 24<sup>th</sup> and 25<sup>th</sup> February.
- 3 Site notices were placed at 18 locations around the site, on 3<sup>rd</sup> March, with a consultation end date of 24<sup>th</sup> March. Ward Councillors have been advised of the reconsultation, and the application was also advertised in the Slough Express on 3<sup>rd</sup> March, with a 21 day period for written submissions.
- 4 The Councils legal team has been instructed on preparation of a Section 106 Agreement legal agreement, which will secure funding for local infrastructure made necessary by the development.
- 5 The exact text of Pre-commencement conditions has yet to be agreed with the developer and work is-going on this. It is expected that the text for these conditions will be substantially the same

		<p>as in the Committee report.</p> <p>6 We will be working on the conditions with the applicant; however it is expected that the text for other conditions will also be substantially the same as in the Committee report and amendment sheet.</p> <p>Please note that the Committee report, the amendment sheet and confirmed minutes can be accessed via the following link:</p> <p><a href="#">Planning Committee Report</a></p> <p>I trust that this is of assistance.</p>
<b>Slough Hockey Club Official Objection to Slough Cricket Clubs 3<sup>rd</sup> Pitch Planning Application</b>	383	<p>19<sup>th</sup> May, 2021</p> <p>The Council note the issues raised within the petition and objections received in relation to the application. These matters will be discussed within the officers report.</p>
<b>Support Slough Cricket Clubs 3<sup>rd</sup> Pitch Planning Application Number- P/10482/013</b>	438	<p>Due 24<sup>th</sup> May, 2021</p> <p>Planning to send this response to publish to the Council website.</p>
<b>Resignation or Vote of No Confidence</b>	1,112	<p>Due 19<sup>th</sup> August, 2021</p> <p>The petition requested the resignation or vote of no confidence in the Leader of the Council.</p> <p>Following a motion proposed by the requisite number of councillors, a vote of no confidence in the Leader of the Council was held at Council in July 2021.</p> <p>The petition was discussed by the Overview &amp; Scrutiny Committee in September 2021.</p>
<b>Abandon Planned Closure of Day Centres</b>	804	<p>16<sup>th</sup> September, 2021</p> <p>The contents of the petition 'Abandon planned closure of day centres' have been noted.</p> <p>It is recognised that people with learning disabilities and autism are some of the most vulnerable members of the community and that carers require assistance to enable them to continue to support their loved one.</p> <p>The e-petition highlights the importance of social interaction, fun, learning, safety, stability, and reliability as well as breaks for carers.</p> <p>We are confident that we can work with local people to identify ways to achieve these outcomes that do not include the council directly providing the services.</p> <p>Subject to Cabinet agreement, all people who use the services affected will be involved in a full assessment of need and go through the process of working with professionals and providers in the wider market to identify ways to meet assessed need. This process will include Carers Assessment.</p>

		<p>We are also keen to work with existing and new providers to co-produce personalised outcomes with people and this will form an important part of our support planning process.</p> <p>We are committed to enabling vulnerable people and their carers to achieve personalised outcomes via solutions they have been part of the design of.</p>
<b>St Mary's Road Speeding</b>	0	Nobody signed this ePetition.